

INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Seattle
FILE NUMBER: 200401326

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Stenberg **Date:** 12 November 2004

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) **Date:**
 At the project site Y (Y/N) **Date:** 21 October 2004

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Washington
County: Skagit
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 48 35 47.27 122 13 41.9
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 0.02
Name of waterway or watershed: Samish River

SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	0.02								
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?		X			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		X			

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved X.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite): This wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the US because it is not connected to any other water of the US. Based on topography observed in the field and soils, even in a storm event, water infiltrates into the wetland and does not discharge to any other surface water. The wetland is not located in a 100-year floodplain.